srp.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 6049 OF 2007.

Arun S. Punde &Ors. ..Petitioners.
Versus.
Special Land Acquisition No.16 & Ors..Respondents.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6050 OF 2007.

Asha H. Mhalgi & Ors. ..Petitioners.
Versus.
Special Land Acquisition No.16 & Ors..Respondents.

V. B. Tapkir for the petitioner.

P. M. Patil A.G.P. for the State.

CORAM: S. B. MHASE &
D.G.KARNIK,JJ.

DATE: AUGUST 21, 2007.

P.C.

1. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that "the Land Acquisition Officer" means an Officer appointed as such by the State Government by notification in the Official Gazette for such provisions of this Act as may be specified in the Notification. This is submitted on the basis of section 2 of the Maharashtra Act No. 39 of 1972. However, the said amendment is not in the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 but it is in respect of Nagpur Improvement Trusts. Therefore, the said amendment cannot be incorporated in the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. Except this no other ground is raised. Therefore, the petitions deserve to be rejected. Both petitions are accordingly rejected.

(D.G.KARNIK, J.) (S.B.MHASE, J.)